

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/634,387	08/05/2003	Hong-Sung Chu	2609-53	6526
759	90 10/05/2004		EXAM	INER
John F. Gulbin			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
Pitney, Hardin, Kipp & Szuch LLP 685 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017-4024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/634,387	CHU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen L. Blau	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9,13,14 and 17 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject to restrict the subject to restrict the subject to restrict the subject to restrict the su	s/are withdrawn from consideratio	n.			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contained in the drawing(s) is contained or by objection is required if the drawing(s) is contained or b).	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,387

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6-7, 8-9 and 13-17 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 16 August 2004.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show a rib in Figures 16-17 as described in the specification. Reference number 90' seems to be pointing to the sleeve. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Application/Control Number: 10/634,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification it states as on page 9 lines 20-21 and maybe other places that the axial margins are adjacent to each other when all of the embodiments have a rib (90, 90') which prevents the axial margins from being adjacent (having a common endpoint or border, immediately preceding or following) to one another. The examiner recommends replacing the word "adjacent" with the word -- near -- to removed this objection.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,387 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 and 12 are indefinite in that each claim that the axial margins are adjacent to each other when all of the embodiments have a rib (90, 90') which prevents the axial margins from being adjacent (having a common endpoint or border, immediately preceding or following) to one another. The examiner recommends replacing the word "adjacent" with the word -- near -- to removed this rejection. Claim 5 is indefinite in that for the elected species of 6 which is figure 17 it is uncertain how the sleeve includes an axial hem structure which extends from a cap to a rim along axial margins of the sheet layer where axial margins of a sheet layer are received by a hem structure so as to be hidden by the hem structure. Figure 17 does not have a hem structure for a sleeve which hides the axial margins. In figure 17 the hemming strip (90") is not part of the sleeve. The examiner recommends changing the claim to read, --wherein said grip includes an axial hem structure ...-.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,387 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (2003/0228930) in view of Onions.

Huang discloses a tape anti-slip layer (Fig. 14).

Huang lacks a sheet layer having a top and bottom circumferential margins, a top circumferential margin being fitted within an annular recess so as to be hemmed and hidden by a portion of a cap, a bottom circumferential margin being in abutment with a protective rim, and two axial margins which interconnect a top and bottom circumferential margins which are adjacent to each other.

Onions discloses an anti-slip layer being a tape (Fig. 3) or a sheet (Fig. 1) where a sheet has a top and bottom circumferential margins and two axial margins with interconnect a top and bottom circumferential margins with are adjacent to each other (Fig. 1). In view of the patent of Onions it would have been obvious to modify the anti-slip layer of Huang to have a sheet layer instead of a tape layer in order to simplify the assembly process by not having to wrap a tape around a sleeve of a grip. As such a sheet would have a top and bottom circumferential margins, a top circumferential margin would be fitted within an annular recess so as to be hemmed and hidden by a portion of a cap, a bottom circumferential margin being in abutment with a protective rim and two axial margins would interconnect a top and bottom circumferential margins which are adjacent to each other.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/634,387

Page 6

Art Unit: 3711

8. Claims 5-7 and 10-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious an axial hem structure which extends from a cap to a protective rim along axial margins of a sheet layer with the axial margins of a sheet layer being received by a hem structure so as to be hidden by a hem structure in addition to other elements of structure claimed.

9. Claims 12-17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. None of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious axial margins of a sheet material being received in and finished by a hem structure in addition to the other elements of structure claimed.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1513. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/3 October 2004

STEPHEN BLAU PRIMARY EXAMINER